

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/715,892	11/17/2000	K. Scott Bower	10005277-1	6908	
75	90 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER	
Kevin Hart Esq			TUNG, KEE M		
Hewlett-Packard Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Intellectual Property Adminstration				7.11.500.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.	
P O Box 272400			2676	15	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/715,8	09/715,892 BOWER ET AL.					
		Examine	г	Art Unit				
). 		Kee M Tu		2676				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROVISION OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROVISION OF	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the stateriod will apply and with apply apply apply and with apply appl	rent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) o rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro blication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	07 April 2004.						
		This action is r	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>2-9,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2,6-9,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
· —	The specification is objected to by the Exam		_					
10)[_]	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			· · ·	N47 IV			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the				· /			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/715,892

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 4/7/04 has been considered in preparing this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said controller" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Corrections are also required for claims 7, 8 and 19. Changing the claim dependency from claim 2 to claim 4 would over come the rejection.
- 2. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said passive digital stereo video data stream" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 2 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,739. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference is that present invention claims an

Application/Control Number: 09/715,892

Art Unit: 2676

input mechanism includes the multiple compositing elements and the patent also claims multiple compositing elements, but did not mention of an input mechanism. The merely recitation of the input mechanism in present invention is for compositing the multiple graphical data streams which is what claimed in the patent. Therefore, the claims 2 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 19-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kee M Tung whose telephone number is 703-305-9660. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 5:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit 2676